

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/010,919 01/23/98 ORDISH Ţ., 3432.73540 **EXAMINER** LM02/0216 BANNER & WITCOFF LTD MORGAN, G 1001 G STREET NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON DC 20001-4597 2761 DATE MAILED: 02/16/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/010,919**

Applicant(s)

Ordish et al.

Examiner

George Morgan

Group Art Unit 2761



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 22, 1998	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay#835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>43-117</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 43-117	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner	-
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved	_disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Serial Number: 09/010,919 Page 2

Art Unit: 2761

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 43-66 were under prosecution in this application at the time of the prior,
April 6, 1999, Office Action. Applicant has amended claims 43, 45-47, 49, 50, and 54-66; and
added claims 67-117. Therefore, Claims 43-117 are under prosecution in this application.

Summary of this Office Action

2. Applicant's remarks filed November 22, 1999 have been fully considered, and are deemed to be persuasive except with regard to the issue of double patenting which is discussed in the next section below. Therefore, applicant's request for allowance is respectfully denied.

Response to Applicant's Amendment

- 3. Examiner acknowledges applicant's corrections to the specification in response to objections made with respect to minor informalities, and withdraws these objections from the record.
- 4. During a telephonic interview held on January 14, 2000, applicant's representative agreed to provide a terminal disclaimer in order to avoid a double patenting rejection. See Interview Summary, Paper No. 10. To date, a terminal disclaimer has not been filed with respect to this patent application. Therefore, this application has been rejected on double patenting grounds.

Serial Number: 09/010,919 Page 3

Art Unit: 2761

Double Patenting

- 5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 6. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 43-117 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 1-48 of U. S. Patent No. 5,727,165 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a matching system for trading instruments in which the occurrence of automatically confirmed trades is dependent on receipt of match acknowledgement messages by the host computer from all counterparties to the matching trade.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application

Serial Number: 09/010,919 Page 4

Art Unit: 2761

which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968).

See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 8.

should be directed to George Morgan whose telephone number is (703) 306-2906. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Todd Voeltz, can be reached on (703) 305-9714. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-0040.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

February 14, 2000

GROUP 2700